

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): In a method of forming a connection in a suspended ceiling grid between cross beams and a main beam,

using a connector on the end of a cross beam that is stabbed through a slot in a main beam, and that ~~to~~ locks the connector with separately

(1) to the main beam ~~[[,]]~~ by means of a straight locking latch on the connector that, as the connector is stabbed through the slot,

a. engages a side of the slot, and

b. pivots from a base in the connector at a bend; and

(2) to an opposing identical second connector already in the slot;

~~wherein the connector has a straight locking latch that pivots from a base in the connector at a bend, to permit the latch to pass through the slot;~~

the improvement comprising a bend in the form of an arc, ~~so that~~ whereby the locking latch pivots along the arc toward the base as the connector is stabbed ~~to permit the latch to pass through the slot.~~

Claim 2 (original): The improvement of claim 1, wherein the arc forms a radius of about .04 inches.

Claim 3 (original): The improvement of claim 1, wherein the locking latch is constructed substantially in accordance with the dimensions shown in Figure 2a.

Claim 4 (previously presented): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch while a taper on the connector being stabbed through the slot positions the connector vertically within the slot more quickly than without the delay.

Claim 5 (previously presented): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch, so that a longer lever arm is created to apply force to pivot the locking latch as it is stabbed through the slot than would be created without the delay.

Claim 6 (previously presented): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch, so that the lateral friction created between the connector already in the slot, and the connector that is being stabbed through the slot, is substantially reduced from the lateral friction created without the delay.

Claim 7 (previously presented): The improvement of claim 1, wherein such improvement delays contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch, so that during the delay, the connector being stabbed through the slot can be adjusted vertically to a position where it locks with the connector already in the slot.

Appl. No. 10/754,323
Amdt. dated October 29, 2007
Reply to Office action of October 5, 2007

Claim 8 (original): In combination, the improvements set forth in claims 1 through 7 above.

Claim 9 (previously presented): A connector set forth in the methods of claims 1 through 7 that requires substantially less force over a shorter distance with the improvements set forth in claim 8, to lock the connectors to each other and to the main beam, than is required without the improvements.